## LR(0) Grammars

The starting point for $L R(0)$ grammars is weak precedence grammars, extended to include the rule

$$
S^{\prime}::=\text { S EOF }
$$

where $S$ is the start rule. We will also include a new stack symbol, \$, denoting the bottom of the stack. We will do several examples with the following simple grammar:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (P1): S' ::= S EOF } & \mathcal{L}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)=\{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~d}\} & \mathscr{R}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)=\{\mathrm{EOF}\} \\
\text { (P2): } \mathrm{S}::=\mathrm{aSb} & \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{~S})=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~d}\} & \mathscr{R}(\mathrm{S})=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\} \\
\text { (P3): } \mathrm{S}::=\mathrm{aSc} & & \\
\text { (P4): } \mathrm{S}::=\mathrm{db} & &
\end{array}
$$

This grammar has the following precedence table:

|  | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | EOF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | $<$ |  |  | $<$ | $=$ |  |
| $\mathbf{b}$ |  | $>$ | $>$ |  |  | $>$ |
| $\mathbf{c}$ |  | $>$ | $>$ |  |  | $>$ |
| $\mathbf{d}$ |  | $=$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{S}$ |  | $=$ | $=$ |  |  | $=$ |
| $\mathbf{\$}$ | $<$ |  |  | $<$ | $<$ |  |

Note that this makes Table[\$, y] = "<" for any y in $\mathcal{L}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, for these are the only symbols that could be pushed onto an empty stack.

LR(0) grammar write this table as

|  | a | b | c | d | S | EOF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | sh |  |  | sh | sh |  |
| $\mathbf{b}$ |  | red | red |  |  | red |
| $\mathbf{c}$ |  | red | red |  |  | red |
| $\mathbf{d}$ |  | sh |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{S}$ |  | sh | sh |  |  | acc |
| $\mathbf{\$}$ | sh |  |  | sh | sh |  |

where the table entries are
sh for "shift"
red for "reduce"
and acc for "accept"

Remember that our grammar is

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (P1): S' ::= S EOF } & \mathcal{L}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)=\{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~d}\} & \mathscr{R}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)=\{\mathrm{EOF}\} \\
\text { (P2): } \mathrm{S}::=\mathrm{aSb} & \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{~S})=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~d}\} & \mathscr{R}(\mathrm{S})=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\} \\
\text { (P3): } \mathrm{S}::=\mathrm{aSc} & & \\
\text { (P4): } \mathrm{S}::=\mathrm{db} & &
\end{array}
$$

Note that if we reduce with b on top of the stack it could only come from (P2) or (P4). When we shift that b onto the stack, if it goes on top of an $S$ we could shift $b_{2}$; if on top of a we could shift $b_{4}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (P1): S' ::= S EOF } & \mathcal{L}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)=\{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~d}\} & \mathcal{R}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)=\{E O F\} \\
\text { (P2): } \mathrm{S}::=\mathrm{aSb} & \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{~S})=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~d}\} & \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{S})=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\} \\
\text { (P3): } \mathrm{S}::=\mathrm{aSc} & & \\
\text { (P4): } \mathrm{S}::=\mathrm{db} & &
\end{array}
$$

Note also that there could be two kinds of $S$ values to push on the stack. If we push an S onto an empty stack, we only need the EOF token to accept the input string. We will call $S$ in this case a "satisfied S ", or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$. If we push an S onto a non-empty stack we will call it an "unsatisfied $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$, or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{u}}$. In this way our stack tokens can tell us something about what is on the stack below them.

Our action table is now:

|  | a | b | c | d | S | EOF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | sh a |  |  | sh d | sh $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{u}}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |  | red <br> P2 | red <br> P2 |  |  | red <br> P2 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |  | red <br> P4 | red <br> P4 |  |  | red <br> P4 |
| $\mathbf{c}$ |  | red <br> P3 | red <br> P3 |  |  | red <br> P3 |
| $\mathbf{d}$ |  | sh $\mathrm{b}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}$ |  | sh $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | sh c |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{s}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | acc |
| $\mathbf{\$}$ | sh a |  |  | sh d | sh $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ |  |

Note that we could encode the action table as a DFA. The terminal states do reductions.


This automaton has all of the information of the table with one exception -- it does reductions without checking that the next token is appropriate. This is okay, because the error will be detected before the next token is shifted onto the stack.

## Building the LR(0) Action Table

We will usually reverse the steps of the last example. Instead of producing a DFA from the action table, we will have an algorithm that produces a DFA from the grammar, and we will use this DFA to derive the action table that we actually use in parsing.

Def. An $\underline{\operatorname{R}(0) \text { item is a grammar rule with a dot on the right-hand }}$ side, as in [A ::= X.Y]. The item [A ::= X.Y] means that we have seen $X$ and are expecting $Y$ to allow a reduction to $A$.

Each state of our DFA wil consist of a collection of $\operatorname{LR}(0)$ items.
To find the states of the DFA, begin with the item [S' ::= .S EOF], where S is the start state. For any item in a state with the dot preceding a non-terminal symbol, as in $[\mathrm{A}::=\alpha . \mathrm{B} \beta]$, we add in all of the items with that non-terminal on the left, as in $[B::=. \chi]$ If a state has the item $[A::=\alpha . x \beta]$ we draw an edge labeled $x$ to the state containing $[\mathrm{A}::=\alpha \times . \beta]$ If this leaves the dot before a non-terminal we expand the new state to include all of the items derived from that non-terminal.

Here is the DFA we get for our grammar
(P1): S' ::= S EOF
(P2): $S::=a S b$
(P3): $S::=a S c$
(P4): $S::=d b$


Note that in our DFA we have numbered the states so we have a way to refer to them.

We will modify our action table so the rows are indexed by states; the columns will still be indexed by terminal and nonterminal symbols.

An edge in the DFA from state $\mathrm{i}:[\mathrm{A}::=\alpha . x \beta]$ to state $\mathrm{j}:[\mathrm{A}::=\alpha \mathrm{x} . \beta]$ is represented in the table by Table $[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{x}]=\operatorname{sh} \mathrm{j}$ (shift x , enter state j ).

A reduction state for rule Pk : [A::=a.] is represented in the table by Table $[i, x]=$ red $k$ for every $x$.

This gives the following action table:

|  | a | b | c | d | $\mathbf{S '}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | EOF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | sh 5 |  |  | sh 3 |  | sh 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | sh 2 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | acc | acc | acc | acc | acc | acc | acc |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | sh 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | red 4 | red 4 | red 4 | red 4 | red 4 | red 4 | red 4 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | sh 5 |  |  | sh 3 |  | sh 6 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ |  | sh 7 | sh 8 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | red 2 | red 2 | red 2 | red 2 | red 2 | red 2 | red 2 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | red 3 | red 3 | red 3 | red 3 | red 3 | red 3 | red 3 |

To use such a table, start in state 0 . On each shift push the new state (from the table) on top of the shifted symbol. On a reduction pop the symbols off the stack and use the uncovered state along with the symbol being pushed to determine the new state to enter.

